

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/766,440	01/27/2004	Daniel L. Gysling	CC-0714	3607	
75	590 07/25/2005		EXAMINER		
	Robert D. Crawford			MILLER, TAKISHA S	
CiDRA Corpore 50 Barnes Park		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Wallingford, C	Wallingford, CT 06492			2855	
			DATE MAIL ED. 07/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	on No.	Applicant(s)				
10/766,44		GYSLING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary Examiner		Art Unit				
- LAUMINO	Millor					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the		2855 orrespondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the state of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the appendance of the period for reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this contained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ent, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ication to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 March 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is n	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	<i>,</i> — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qu	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected.					
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election r	equirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. No	= : : : :					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have bee 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have bee 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been application from the International Bureau (PCT Rul * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-42 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of copending Application No. 10/762,410 in view of US2005/0061060 (Gysling et al.). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of 10/762,410 discloses all of the elements of the cited claims of the instant application except for the use of a second meter portion comprising two pressure sensors and a means to compensate a meter measurement for entrained gas. Gysling teaches the use of a second meter portion (18) comprising two pressure sensors (¶ 0043, lines 1-4) and a means to compensate a meter measurement for entrained gas (¶ 0002, lines 6-8 and ¶ 0014, lines 6-7). It would have

Application/Control Number: 10/766,440

Art Unit: 2855

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the claimed '410 system and method to include a second meter portion and a means to compensate a meter measurement for entrained gas as taught by Gysling to provide percent composition data and improve the accuracy of the measurement, since this would be advantageous in analyzing multiphase systems.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Takisha Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-2184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (7:00 am - 3:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HARSHAD PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3